















## Previous Power Quality Monitoring and Surveys

There have been many efforts to carry out system wide monitoring and survey. Table below illustrates the breadth and depth of the data managed from several countries.

Country Voltage level		Phase to phase	Minimum	Maximum	Number
		[p2p] or phase to	monitoring	monitoring	of sites
		neutral [p2n]	period	perioa	
Canada	LV /HV /MV	p2n	607 days	730 days	11
Portugal LV /HV /MV		p2p	30 days	303 days	261
UK	MV	p2n	28 days	2222 days	273
South Africa	HV	p2p	31 days	2557 days	234
USA HV /MV		p2p	4475 days	4475 days	17
Australia LV /MV p2p 28 days			28 days	28 days	56
Spain	LV /HV /MV	p2p	88 days	1656 days	349
				Total	1175
Р	OWER QUALIT	Y BASELINE STUD	Y FOR PENINS	ULAR MALAYSIA	8



Centre of Electrical Borry Systems (CEES) http://cees.utm.my	Harmonics Monitoring and Survey Statistics
Au	stria LV Networks in year 2000 until 2003
2,5% (0,6) (0,6) (0,6) (0,6) (0,7) (0,	In LV and MV networks, the measurement results are within the compatibility levels are between the average, but 7 out 202 measurements are exceeding the compatibility limits.
Measured values:	5 <sup>th</sup> Harmonics
Location of measurement:	30-40 measurement points in LV networks (65% residential, 10% industrial, 25% mixed environment)
Measurement campaign:	2000-2003(6series)
Time of measurement	June 2000, January 2001, June 2001, January 2002, June 2002, January 2003
Duration	1 week each
Level type:	95% and 100% value of the 5th harmonic
	ITY BASELINE STUDY FOR PENINSULAR MALAYSIA







## POWER QUALITY COST

http://cees.utm.my

- The cost of wastage caused by poor PQ for EU-25 according to the cost analysis in European study 2008, exceeds €150bn.
- "Industry" accounts for over 90% of this wastage. The proportion due to "Services" is relatively small. This is possibly because of cost under-estimations by service sector organizations that often experience PQ problems but finding it difficult to distinguish with other causes of disruption. Some services sectors like data centers, which probably experience high PQ costs, have no representation in the survey.
- Dips and short interruptions account for almost 60% of the overall cost to industry and 57% for the total sample.
- This extrapolation corresponds well with those levels indicated by EPRI CEIDS PQ survey (2000) in the US which reports costs of between \$119-188bn, with 4% of companies reporting annual costs of 10% or more of annual revenue and 9% reporting costs between 1 and 9,99%.



http://c	STIT TERNOLOGI MALAVSIA CEES) ees.utm.my	Economic Losse Quality E	s due to Power Events					
<ul> <li>Acco to Su billio PQ pl</li> </ul>	According to a study sponsored by EPRI's Consortium for Electric Infrastructure to Support a Digital Society (CEIDS), U.S. economy is losing between \$104 billion and \$164 billion a year to outages and another \$15 billion to \$24 billion to PQ phenomena.							
• In EU about than :	In EU, it is estimated that power quality problems cost industry and commerce about €10 billion per annum while expenditure on preventative measures is less than 5 % of this. Losses due to Power Ouality Events in EU							
	Industry Typical financial loss per event Losses (€)							
	Semiconductor p	roduction	3 800 000					
	Financial trading		6 000 000 per hour					
	Computer centre		750 000					
	Telecommunications 30 000 per minute							
	Steel works		350 000					
	Glass industry		250 000					
	POWER QUAL	ITY BASELINE STUDY FOR PEN	INSULAR MALAYSIA					



	<b>Economic Losses in Malaysia</b> http://cees.utm.my <b>Economic Losses in Malaysia</b> due to Power Quality Events							
Losses at KHTP in 2007 due to Voltage Sag								
	No	Company	Affected	Products	Total Loss (RM)	Remarks		
	1	А	Yes	Semiconductor Wafers	6,361,816	Impact due to wafer scrapped		
	2	В	Yes	CPU	4,440			
	3	С	Yes	Magnetic Disk	750,000	Material & Parts Damage		
	4	D	Yes	Semiconductor Wafers	2,500,00	Material & Parts Damage		
	5	Н	Yes	Semiconductor	35,000			
	6	Ι	Yes	Magnetic Disk	0	Production Downtime = 2 hours		
		DOULE				18		

<b>Centre of</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Description</b> <b>Descrip</b>							
No	No Company Affected		Products	Losses (RM)	Remarks		
	А	Yes	Semiconductor Wafers	3,400,000	Impact due to wafer scrapped		
2	В	Yes	CPU	3,960,000	24K Prod Opportunities Lost		
	С	Yes	Magnetic Disk/Wafers	453,700	Material & Parts Damage		
4	D	Yes	Semiconductor Wafers	500,00	Material & Parts Damage		
5	Н	Yes	Semiconductor	2,160,000			
6	Ι	Yes	Magnetic Disk	0	Product has to be recycled again. Time loss. No exact value		
7	G	Yes	SMT/PCB Assembly	0	2 circuit board for battery charger – generator & HT switch		
	POWE	RQUALITY	BASELINE STUDY	FOR PENINS	ULAR MALAYSIA		

<b>Economic Losses due to Power</b> <b>http://cces.utm.my</b> Let a state of the state of					
Lo	osses at one	semiconductor	company in June 2009		
Date	Losses (USD)	Sag level (%) and Duration	Cause		
June 3, 2009	488.79k	Yellow phase 40% (1 s) Red phase 27% (1 s)	Interruption to 132kV transmission line at Pandan Maju substation caused by kite (copper wire was used as kite string - 4 person were electrocuted)		
June 20, 2009	510.26k	RYB phase 97 % (89 ms)	33kV Termination fault (flashover) at PandanMaju substation (Feeder to TAMAN KOSAS substation)		
June 21, 2009	91.75k	Yellow phase 16.7% (380ms)	11kV Cable fault at MPAJ substation (Same main intake as TIM - from PandanMaju)		
June 27, 2009	647.98k	Red phase 50% (1.14s). Blue phase 36% (1.14s)	33kV from Selangor to Kuari Ampang Overhead line fault caused the Taman Kosas substation circuit breaker tripped		
	POWER QU	ALITY BASELINE	STUDY FOR PENINSULAR MALAYSIA		

Year	2007	2008	2009	2010
Equipment Malfunction (RM)	2,200,000	1,500,000	150,000	32,000
Equipment Damage (RM)	930,000	590,000	150,000	2,000
Equipment Additional Maintenance (RM)	310,000	55,000	285,000	10,000
Total Losses (RM)	3,440,000	2,145,000	585,000	44,000

Economic Losses due to Quality Events	Power
Losses at one petrochemical company	
Type of Losses	Amount (RM)
Costs of raw materials involved in the production	70,000
Labor involved the production or services which was inevitably lost	1,500
Costs of labour needed to make up for lost production, sales, or services	1,500
such as overtime pay, extra shifts, etc	
Annual value of products running out of specification and / or value of	43,000
insufficient quality of products	
The cost of the raw material for equipment damage	15,000
Total Losses	131,000
Losses at another petrochemical company	
Type of Losses	Amount (RM)
Annual value of products running out of specification and / or value of	8,000,000
insufficient quality of products	
Average cost for equipment additional maintenance	1,200,000
Total losses	9,200,000
POWER QUALITY BASELINE STUDY FOR PENINSULAR MA	LAYSIA 22













	Standards on Harmonics
http://cees.utm.my	
Standard	IEC 61000-3-2, IEC 61000-3-4
Title of document	61000-3-2: Limit for harmonic current emissions (equipment input current <16A) 61000-3-4: Limitation of emission of harmonic currents in low voltage power supply systems for equipment with rated current >16A
Scope	Define harmonic current emission limits for equipment only
Limit	Equipment are classified into four categories (61000-3-2): Class A: Balanced Three-phase Class B: Portable tools Class C: Lighting equipment Class D: with special wave shape For equipment > 16 A (61000-3-4): 3 assessment categories – depends on short circuit ratio and
	connection. Individual harmonic current and THD limits are given.

	Standards or	n Harmonics				
http://cee	s.utm.my					
Standard	Idard IEEE 519-1992					
Title of document	IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electrical Power Systems.					
Scope	<ul> <li>Gives guidelines and recommended practices dealing with harmonics. I explains several topics:</li> <li>Harmonic generation</li> <li>System Response characteristics</li> <li>Effects of harmonics</li> <li>Reactive Power compensation and Harmonic control</li> </ul>					
Limit	<ul> <li>For individual customers</li> <li>Current limits are imposed on individual customers at PCC or point of metering.</li> <li>Depends on customer size and short circuit duration.</li> <li>The objective is to limit individual voltage harmonic to 3% and THD of 5%.</li> </ul>	For utilities - <60 kV, THD 5%, individual 3% - 60 kV to 161 kV – THD 2.5%, individual 1.5% - 161 kV and above – THD 1.5%, individual 1%				



CLASS 3 (includ CLASS 3 (includ region)					2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Class a Test level and durations for voltage sags (ts)				(50Hz/60Hz)		
Class 1	Case-by-cas	e according to	equipment requ	juirements		
Class 2	0% during 1/2 cycle	0% during 1 cycle	70% during 225/30 cycles			
<b>CI</b> 2	0% during	0% during 1	400% during	70% during	80% during 50/300	
Class 5	1/2 cycle	cycle	10/1 cycles 2	25/30 cycles	cycles	
Class Xb	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
А		С	lasses per IEC 6	510000-2-4		
В	To be identi indirectly to	fied by product the public netv	committee. For work, the level n	equipment co nust be less that	nnected directly or an level 2.	
С	25/30' cycle	s means '25 c	ycles for 50 Hz t	est' and '300 c	ycles for 600Hz test'	
					AR MALAYSIA 32	

<b>UTTM</b> UNIVERSIT TEXNOLOGI MALASI http://cees.utm.n	Centre of Electrical Energy Systems A (CEES)	Powe	r Quali	ty Log	ging	
PQ Logging Process	entify gging sites	equest the mission to astall PQ Logger.	Install PQ Logger for the duration of 24 hours	Down dat	load a	Data analysis
	Nur	nber of Sites	s for Every S	tate		
Region	State	Industry	Commercial	Residential	Total	
Northern	Perak	36	18	6	60	
Region	Penang	42	21	7	70	
	Kedah	24	12	4	40	
	Perlis	1	1	1	3	
Eastern	Eastern Kelantan		3	1	8	
Region	Terengganu	20	11	3	34	
	Pahang	23	9	3	35	
Central	Selangor	50	20	5	78	
RegionKuala LumpurSouthernJohor		7	18	14	72	
		41	17	3	80	
Region	Melaka	23	10	1	10	
	N. Sembilan	29	10	2	10	
	Total	300	150	50	500	









